## **Review and Outlook**

Machine Learning 2020 mlvu.github.io

## the plan

### part 1:

Review, Exam strategies

Future work Causality, Generalization, Compositionality

### part 2:

The social impact of machine learning



## exam strategy

Focus on the LECTURES, not the reading Read the slides before watching the videos

Focus on the first 10 lectures

Make quick passes over everything. Figure out *what* you don't understand, then move on.

image source: Shev Gul Mind Skills mind map. Created by Shev Gul http://www.mindmapart.com/

### studying tricks

Compose a keyword list Pages > Terminology

Come up with your own exam questions

Make random combinations

Home

## focus on the ins and outs

$$\nabla \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{a}) = \nabla \sum_{a} p(\mathbf{a}) \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{a})$$

$$= \sum_{a} \nabla p(\mathbf{a}) \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{a})$$

$$= \sum_{a} p(\mathbf{a}) \frac{\nabla p(\mathbf{a})}{p(\mathbf{a})} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{a})$$

$$= \sum_{a} p(\mathbf{a}) \nabla \ln p(\mathbf{a}) \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{a})$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{a}) \nabla \ln p(\mathbf{a})$$

### Announcements Recommended reading Syllabus Pages These materials are not required to pass the exam. But they are worth looking into Assignments you just want to learn more People Introduction Discussions <u>A few useful things to know about machine learning</u>, e<sup>\*</sup> Pedro Domingos. <u>Machine Learning crash course</u>, e<sup>\*</sup> From Google. The glossary e<sup>\*</sup> may be particularly useful if you get stuck on an unfamiliar Grades Linear Models 1 • A good way to visualize squared error loss. Methodology 1 Settings Derived features: <a href="https://developers.google.com/machine-learning/crash-com/machine-learn Mothodology 2







#### 2018 - P 4 All ✓ Search by title or author... Q Home Announcements ✓ Pinned discussions Syllabus Terminology and notation All sections Last post at 24 Feb at 15:59 Pages ... Assignments People Typos and other small mistakes All sections Last post at 7 Mar at 11:31 Discussions $\vee$ Discussions Questions exam 2018 All sections Last post at 20 Mar at 17:04 Hw6, Decision Trees question 3 All se Last post at 18 Mar at 16:26 Settings Project baseline requirements



## what can't we do yet?

Causality

Compositionality

Generalization

## causality

correlation does not imply causation

offline learning can only find correlations.

identifying causation requires intervention i.e. a controlled experiment





## generalisation

What if your test data is a little different from your training data.

For instance:

train an RNN to sum numbers between 1 and 10 test on numbers between 1 and 15

causality, compositionality, generalisation

The key is to create a model with the right **inductive bias** 



## inductive biases of CNNs

 The data has a grid structure we know the data consists of pixels
 Inputs far apart on the grid are not relevant for lowlevel features we connect only a local group of pixels to each hidden node
 Iow level feature extractors are translation invariant we re-use the same weights for each patch

## inductive biases of LSTMs

- The data is a sequence
- Each token can be modelled as a result of the tokens preceding it.
- Many tokens can be forgotten, and we can infer this from the token itself, together with the immediate context.



## inductive bias

causality: inject background knowledge as an inductive bias

compositionality: add preference for compositionally explicitly, or model the rules of composition

generalization: the more we constrain our model, the better it generalizes but the less robust it is against the thing we didn't model

Grand challenge: start with the inductive bias, and let the model follow.







## Using generative models to invent meaningful creative operations

Let's look at an example where a machine learning model makes a new type of interface possible. To understand the interface, imagine you're a type designer, working on creating a new font <sup>1</sup>. After sketching some initial designs, you wish to experiment with bold, italic, and condensed variations. Let's examine a too't to generate and explore such variations, from any initial design. For reasons that will soon be explained the quality of results is quite crude; please bear with us.



## distill.pub/2017/aia/







SYSTEM PROMPT (HUMAN-WRITTEN)	In a shocking finding, scientist discovered a herd of unicorns living in a remote, previously unexplored valley, in the Andes Mountains. Even more surprising to the researchers was the fact that the unicorns spoke perfect English.
MODEL COMPLETION (MACHINE- WRITTEN, 10 TRIES)	The scientist named the population, after their distinctive horn, Ovid's Unicorn. These four-horned, silver-white unicorns were previously unknown to science.
	Now, after almost two centuries, the mystery of what sparked this odd phenomenon is finally solved.
	Dr. Jorge Pérez, an evolutionary biologist from the University of La Paz, and several companions, were exploring the Andes Mountains when they found a small valley, with no other animals or humans. Pérez noticed that the valley had what appeared to be a natural fountain, surrounded by two peaks of rock and silver snow.
	Pérez and the others then ventured further into the valley. "By the time we reached the top of one peak, the water looked blue, with some crystals on top," said Pérez.





# Film fans see red over Netflix 'targeted' posters for black viewers

The streaming service's customers say they are being duped by marketing that shows minor cast members as leading characters



For anyone familiar with spending an unrelaxing hour scrolling through the Netflix menu trying to work out what to watch, the idea that one of the 20hetfin-fin-black-viewer-personalised-marketing-taget#img-1\_conalise your viewing choices Joy Buolamwini



Machine Bias There's software used across the country to predict future criminals. And it's biased against blacks. by Jalia Angwin, *VIT surgers, Surger Mattin and Lawre Knoberg*. PorJublice

https://www.propublica.org/article/machine-biasrisk-assessments-in-criminal-sentencing? utm\_campaign=comms&utm\_source=commspitch&utm\_medium=email&utm\_term=algorithm

### What if

the data is a fair representation of the population

and

the predictions are accurate?

### racial profiling

TOP STORIES

## POLICE RACIAL PROFILING OVERWHELMINGLY APPROVED BY DUTCH PUBLIC



Recently, a Dutch hip-hop artist called Typhoon was stopped by the police. The police admitted that the combination of his skin colour and the fact that he drove an expensive car played a part in the choice to stop him. This caused a small stir in the Dutch media and a nationwide discussion about **racial profiling**, using racial features to predict the likelihood of a person committing a crime. Other examples of profiling include travel security checking people of arabic descent more than others, giving people of certain background higher health-insurance premiums or managers being less likely to hire women for technical positions (gender profiling).

Profiling is an important subject now that machine learning and data mining are becoming more widespread. Since we generally optimise purely for performance, and feed the algorithm lots of features, there is no telling whether it is using sensitive feature like race. An automatic system built to detect whether cars should be stopped for a random search might be very effective at predicting crimes, but unless it's 100% effective, it will stop innocent people to, and it may be engaging in racial profiling.



Talking about things like "the probability that a black person commits a crime" is a reductive way to speak, regardless of our intentions, so we will try to make things more concrete with an example: we will look at this recent case, discussed in the Washington Post. In the US, people are routinely classified by ethnicity in research (since it is an important issue) and there are clear guidelines for making the classification. We will follow this definition of a black person. Instead of crime in general, we will focus on the illicit use of drugs. For this, we have good data on how many people engage in illicit drug use and for how many people are arrested for it.

Here we see the rates of illicit drug use broken down by ethnicity, and the arrest rate also broken down by ethnicity. As we see, there is a very small discrepancy between the black/white difference in illicit drug use, ad a huge margin in the difference in arrests.

### source:

http://skeptics.stackexchange.com/questions/ 36797/do-black-people-and-white-people-usedrugs-at-the-same-rate-in-the-usa-but-blac https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/ wp/2013/06/04/the-blackwhite-marijuanaarrest-gap-in-nine-charts/? utm\_term=.322fc255f412

### prosecutor's fallacy

Abusing conditional probability

p(black | drugs) vs. p(drugs | black)

The probability that a basketball player is tall is different from the probability that a tall person plays basketball.

Racial profiling is a classic case of the prosecutor's fallacy. In this case the probability p(drugs|black) is very slightly higher than the probability p(drugs| ~black), so the police feel that they are justified in using ethnicity as a feature for predicting drug use (it "works"). However, the probability p(drugs|black) many still be very much lower than the probability p(~drugs|black) a probability that is never considered. As we see in the previous slide the rates are around p(drugs|black) = 0.09 vs. p(~drugs|black) = 0.81. If the police blindly stop only black people, they are disadvantaging over 80% of the people they stop.

This is racism in a nutshell. People are disadvantaged not because of their actions, but because of a feature they share with somebody else who perpetrated a crime. A fundamental property of our modern morality is that people should only be judged by their own actions, and should only be punished for their own actions. Under the banner of "it works" machine learning and data mining can be responsible for horrible acts of discrimination when only their performance for a given task is evaluated and not whether or not their actions are fair.

Assuming that tall people play basketball may work better than assuming that short people play basketball, but you'll still assume that a lot people play basketball who don't. If that assumption carries a negative consequences must be taken into account. We end up disadvantaging people purely on the basis of a future

they share with the people whom it if fair to disadvantage.

### what if we forbid racial profiling?

Disallow the use of gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation etc. as features in sensitive ML tasks

What about: postcode, hobbies, average salary, mode of transport, etc.

What about companies: how do we police Google, Facebook, Yahoo?

Can we solve the problem by simply disallowing people the use of these sensitive features in datamining applications? The problem is that many other features are highly correlated. If we combine postcode, income, hobbies and music taste (and perhaps the same values of people close by), we can end up with a perfect predictor for the sensitive values. And since the ML algorithm is optimised for what works (in a very narrow sense) rather than what's fair, we will still see algorithms that discriminate.

Moreover, while we can police some institutions, we cannot police foreign companies. If we limit only domestic companies and government institutions, we are just creating an advantage for the institutions we can't control.

### What if

the data is a fair representation of the population

and

the predictions are accurate

and

we've correctly used Bayes rule?

### actions versus predictions

It is fundamentally unfair to hold an individual responsible for the the actions of others that share their attributes.

Everybody has the *right* to to be judged on their own actions.

### "hold responsible":

subject to a traffic stop, not give parole, search at an airport, not give a credit card, make it more difficult to get a job.

### feedback loops

Offline learning doesn't stay offline.

Predictions become actions, that reinforce existing biases from the data.

It's not just about whether the predictions are accurate. It's about whether the **actions are fair, and effective**.





